

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/977,170	10/12/2001	Richard H. Balmer	A148 1550	2857
7590 09/03/2003 Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 7037 Atlanta, GA 30357-0037			FISCHER, JUSTIN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 9-4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/977,170	BALMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Justin R Fischer	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	October 2001 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 						
4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , ,,	OVEO by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	diffici.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	1)-(a) or (t).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment(s)	•					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/977,170 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8 and 17-29, drawn to a surface covering and method of forming said surface covering, classified in class 428, subclass 198.
- II. Claims 9-16 and 34-39, drawn to a welding rod and method of forming said welding rod, classified in class 428, subclass 364.
- III. Claims 30-33, drawn to a seamed surface covering, classified in class428, subclass 98.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product (Invention I) is deemed to be useful as a decorative article and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants (i.e. the surface covering does not have to be further processed to form the welding rod). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,170 Page 3

Art Unit: 1733

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product (Invention I) is deemed to be useful as a decorative article and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as independent inventions, each having a unique and separate means for establishing patentability. Invention II is directed to a welding rod and method of forming said welding rod, while Invention III is directed to a seamed surface covering formed by joining two surface covering sheets. In this instance, Invention II forms a welding rod by further processing a surface covering sheet via molding means. On the other hand, Invention III joins two surface covering sheets together to form a seamed surface covering. As such, it is evident that the respective inventions are directed to unique and patentably distinct inventions and as such, restriction is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,170

Art Unit: 1733

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject

matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. A telephone call was made to Steven Schmid on August 28, 2003 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being

made.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Justin R Fischer whose telephone number is (703)

605-4397. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

Justin Fischer

August 28, 2003

Michael W Rall

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700